BEFORE THE SECURITIES APPELLATE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Date : 07.07.2021

Misc. Application No. 773 of 2021 And Misc. Application No. 774 of 2021 And Misc. Application No. 775 of 2021 And Appeal No. 444 of 2021

Beejay Investment and FinancialConsultants Pvt. Ltd. & Ors....Appellants

Versus

Securities and Exchange Board of India ... Respondent

Mr. Gaurav Agarwal, Advocate i/b GRV Legal for the Appellant.

Mr. Manish Chhangani, Advocate with Mr. Anubhav Ghosh and Mr. Ravishekhar Pandey, Advocates i/b The Law Point for the Respondent.

ORDER:

1. There is a delay of 34 days in the filing of the appeal. For the reasons stated in the application the delay is condoned. The application is allowed. The appeal has been taken up for consideration the urgency application is also disposed of. 2. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we grant four weeks time to the respondent to file a reply. Three weeks thereafter to the appellant to file a rejoinder. The matter would be listed for admission and for final disposal on September 13, 2021.

3. Considering the fact that the unlawful gains have not been separately quantified and all the 20 noticees in the impugned order have been directed to disgorge jointly and severally an amount of Rs. 3,30,26,842/-. We direct as an interim measure to the appellants in question to deposit a sum of Rs. 45 lakh within four weeks from today. The amount so deposited shall be subject to the result of the appeal. In this regard the learned counsel for the appellant made a request that he may be allowed to sell the securities which are lying in the demat account and which has also been frozen.

4. In this regard we permit the appellants to file an appropriate application giving details of the scrips that is required to be sold. If the same is filed before the respondent the same shall be dealt with within a week thereafter. The application for interim stay is also disposed of.

2

5. Parties are directed to take instructions from the Registrar 48 hours before the date fixed in order to find out as to whether the matter would be taken up for hearing through video conference or through physical hearing.

6. The present matter was heard through video conference due to Covid-19 pandemic. At this stage it is not possible to sign a copy of this order nor a certified copy of this order could be issued by the registry. In these circumstances, this order will be digitally signed by the Private Secretary on behalf of the bench and all concerned parties are directed to act on the digitally signed copy of this order. Parties will act on production of a digitally signed copy sent by fax and/or email.

> Justice Tarun Agarwala Presiding Officer

> > Justice M.T. Joshi Judicial Member

07.07.2021 msb