BEFORE THE SECURITIES APPELLATE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI** 

Appeal No.7 of 2008

Date of decision: 11.8.2009

Mr. Vivek Nagpal

..... Appellant

Versus

Securities and Exchange Board of India

..... Respondent

Mr. Ravichandra Hegde Advocate for the Appellant.

Dr. Mrs. Poornima Advani Advocate with Ms. Harshada Nagare Advocate for the Respondent.

Coram: Justice N.K. Sodhi, Presiding Officer

Samar Ray, Member

Per: Justice N.K. Sodhi, Presiding Officer

This order shall dispose of 4 appeals no. 7, 8, 13 and 46 of 2008 all of which are directed against the common order dated October 16, 2007 passed by the whole time member of the Securities and Exchange Board of India whereby, among others, the appellants had been prohibited from buying, selling or dealing in securities directly or indirectly for a period of 5 years. The appellants along with others were found to have violated the provisions of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Prohibition of

Fraudulent and Unfair Trade Practices Relating to Securities Market) Regulations, 1995.

During the pendency of the appeals, the four appellants filed separate applications before the respondent Board seeking a consent order in terms of the circular dated April 20, 2007. These applications were processed and the consent terms offered by the appellants were considered by the internal committee of the Board. Thereafter, the appellants offered revised terms whereby each of the appellant except Shonk Technologies International Limited (Appeal no. 13 of 2008) offered Rs.10 lacs towards

the settlement charges and another sum of Rs.55,000/- towards legal expenses. Shonk

Technologies Limited in Appeal no. 13 of 2008 offered a sum of Rs.3 lacs towards the

settlement charges and another sum of Rs.55,000/- towards legal expenses. In addition to

these amounts, all the appellants voluntarily undertook to remain out of the capital market

for a period of five years including the period for which they have already remained out

of the market in terms of the impugned order. The revised terms were placed before the

High Powered Committed constituted for the purpose and the said committee has

recommended the acceptance of the revised terms. The matter was then placed before

two whole time members of the respondent Board and they have approved the revised

terms. The appellants were issued letters informing them that the revised terms had been

approved in pursuance whereto they have deposited the amounts offered by them.

Mr. Ravi Hegde, learned counsel appearing for the appellants has now made an

oral application with a prayer that the revised terms as approved by the respondent Board

be accepted by this tribunal and that the appeals be disposed off in terms thereof.

Learned counsel for the respondent Board has no objection. We order accordingly. The

appeals stand disposed off and the impugned order qua the appellants stands modified as

per the consent terms offered by them. No costs.

Sd/Justice N.K. Sodhi

**Presiding Officer** 

Sd/-Samar Ray

Member

11.8.2009

ddg/-